IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| EMERY ROSS | § | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | § | |
| Petitioner, | § | |
| | § | |
| VS. | § | |
| | § | NO. 3-06-CV-1803-M |
| NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Director | § | |
| Texas Department of Criminal Justice, | § | |
| Correctional Institutions Division | § | |
| | § | |
| Respondent. | § | |
| | | |

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner Emery Ross, appearing *pro se*, has filed an application for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. For the reasons stated herein, the application should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust state remedies.

I.

On August 8, 2006, petitioner pled guilty to assault with a deadly weapon and was sentenced to 12 years confinement. No appeal was taken and petitioner has not filed an application for state post-conviction relief. Instead, petitioner seeks federal habeas relief on the grounds of ineffective assistance of counsel.

II.

A petitioner must fully exhaust state remedies before seeking federal habeas relief. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). This entails submitting the factual and legal basis of any claim to the highest available state court for review. *Carter v. Estelle*, 677 F.2d 427, 443 (5th Cir. 1982), *cert. denied*, 103 S.Ct. 1508 (1983). A Texas prisoner must present his claim to the Texas Court of Criminal

Appeals in a petition for discretionary review or an application for writ of habeas corpus. *See Bautista v. McCotter*, 793 F.2d 109, 110 (5th Cir. 1986); *Richardson v. Procunier*, 762 F.2d 429, 432 (5th Cir. 1985). A federal habeas petition that contains unexhausted claims must be dismissed in its entirety. *Thomas v. Collins*, 919 F.2d 333, 334 (5th Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 111 S.Ct. 2862 (1991); *Bautista*, 793 F.2d at 110.

It plainly appears from the face of the pleadings that petitioner has not presented any of his ineffective assistance of counsel claims to the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals in a petition for discretionary review or an application for writ of habeas corpus. Because the highest available state court has not yet considered petitioner's claims, his federal writ must be dismissed.

RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust state remedies.

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party may file written objections to the recommendation within 10 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). The failure to file written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Services Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).

DATED: October 4, 2006.

JEFR KAPLAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE